	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/557,011	RANGANATHAN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Patricia A Patten	1654
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Prior to Allowance</u>		
(1) <u>Patricia A Patten</u> .	(3)	
(2) Atty Jane Massey Licata.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 16 October 2003	Time: approx. 3pm EST	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: none		
Claims discussed: Previous claim 1, claims 2-4 and new proposed claim 8.		
Prior art documents discussed: none		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Sign	gnature – it appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed amending minor informalities in order to place the claims in condition for allowance. Faxed Atty. Massey Licata a proposed amendment which canceled claim 1 and added new claim 8 which reflected the Examiner's changes. Atty. Massey Licata was in agreement with the proposed Amendment. Relayed to Atty. Massey Licata that a notice of Allowance would be issued.